

# Infra-red renormalisation group for turbulence

Antti Kupiainen

and

Paolo Muratore-Ginanneschi,

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki

[nlin.CD/0603031](https://arxiv.org/abs/nlin.CD/0603031)

# A general conjecture

**H.A. Rose and P.L. Sulem (1978)**  
**G. Eyink and N. Goldenfeld (1994)**

# Critical Phenomena vs Turbulence

## Critical Phenomena

*UV cutoff  $M$*

*Inverse correlation length*

$T - T_c$

*Scaling regime*

*Anomalous conservation laws*

## Turbulence

*Integral scale  $m^{-1}$*

*Viscous scale*

*Viscosity  $\nu$*

*Inertial range*

*Dissipative anomaly*

# Critical Phenomena vs Turbulence

## Critical Phenomena

*UV cutoff  $M$*

*Inverse correlation length*

*$T - T_c$*

*Scaling regime*

*Anomalous conservation laws*

## Turbulence

*Integral scale  $m^{-1}$*

*Viscous scale*

*Viscosity  $\nu$*

*Inertial range*

*Dissipative anomaly*

Do turbulent phenomena look like critical phenomena once we interchanged short and long distances or position and Fourier spaces?

# Wilson's renormalisation (semi)-group

construction of the probability distribution of  
scaling fields  
by coarse graining degrees of freedom

# Coarse graining

- Fields are stochastic variables with distribution

$$P(\phi) \propto e^{-\mathcal{A}} \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(\phi)$$

# Coarse graining

- Fields are stochastic variables with distribution

$$P(\phi) \propto e^{-\mathcal{A}} \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(\phi)$$

- Field decomposition by a sharp momentum cut-off  $\lambda$

$$\phi = \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}} + \underbrace{\delta\phi}$$

# Coarse graining

- Fields are stochastic variables with distribution

$$P(\phi) \propto e^{-\mathcal{A}} \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(\phi)$$

- Field decomposition by a sharp momentum cut-off  $\lambda$

$$\phi = \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}}_{\text{scaling part}} + \underbrace{\delta\phi}$$

# Coarse graining

- Fields are stochastic variables with distribution

$$P(\phi) \propto e^{-\mathcal{A}} \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(\phi)$$

- Field decomposition by a sharp momentum cut-off  $\lambda$

$$\phi = \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}}_{\text{scaling part}} + \underbrace{\delta\phi}_{\text{fluctuations}}$$

# Coarse graining

- Fields are stochastic variables with distribution

$$P(\phi) \propto e^{-\mathcal{A}} \quad \mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(\phi)$$

- Field decomposition by a sharp momentum cut-off  $\lambda$

$$\phi = \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}}_{\text{scaling part}} + \underbrace{\delta\phi}_{\text{fluctuations}}$$

- Fluctuations are integrated out

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda \mathcal{A} = \ln \int \mathcal{D}[\delta\phi] e^{-\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\phi} + \delta\phi)}$$

# Fixed point

- The original momentum support is restored

$$\tilde{\phi}(x, t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{d_\phi} \tilde{\phi}(\lambda^{d_x} x, \lambda^{d_t} t)$$

# Fixed point

- The original momentum support is restored

$$\tilde{\phi}(x, t) \Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{d_\phi} \tilde{\phi}(\lambda^{d_x} x, \lambda^{d_t} t)$$

- Existence of scaling

$$\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(x, t) \stackrel{\text{law}}{\sim} \phi(x, t)$$

# Fixed point

- The original momentum support is restored

$$\tilde{\phi}(x, t) \Rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{d_\phi} \tilde{\phi}(\lambda^{d_x} x, \lambda^{d_t} t)$$

- Existence of scaling

$$\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(x, t) \stackrel{\text{law}}{\sim} \phi(x, t)$$

if the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*} \mathcal{R}_\lambda [\mathcal{A}(\phi_\lambda) + \delta \mathcal{A}(\phi_\lambda)] = \mathcal{A}_*(\phi)$$

exists and is finite for some **scaling dimensions**  $d_\bullet$ .

# Scaling operators

General field functionals are renormalised according to

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda O = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}[\delta\phi] O(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi) e^{-\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi)}}{\int \mathcal{D}[\delta\phi] e^{-\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi)}}$$

# Scaling operators

General field functionals are renormalised according to

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda O = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}[\delta\phi] O(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi) e^{-\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi)}}{\int \mathcal{D}[\delta\phi] e^{-\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi)}}$$

In the presence of a **fixed point** a field functional  $O$  is scaling if the limit

# Scaling operators

General field functionals are renormalised according to

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda O = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}[\delta\phi] O(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi) e^{-\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi)}}{\int \mathcal{D}[\delta\phi] e^{-\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\phi}_{(1/\lambda)} + \delta\phi)}}$$

In the presence of a **fixed point** a field functional  $O$  is scaling if the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_\star} \lambda^{-d_O} \mathcal{R}_\lambda O(\lambda^{d_x} x, \lambda^{d_t} t) = O_\star(x, t)$$

exists and it is finite with scaling dimension  $d_O$

# Infra-red vs. ultra-violet RG

## ultra-violet renormalisation

Scaling at small momenta:  $\tilde{\phi}$  has support for momenta in  $[0; \lambda M]$  as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .

# Infra-red vs. ultra-violet RG

## ultra-violet renormalisation

Scaling at small momenta:  $\tilde{\phi}$  has support for momenta in  $[0; \lambda M]$  as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .

## infra-red renormalisation

Scaling at large momenta:  $\tilde{\phi}$  has support for momenta in  $[\lambda m, \infty]$  as  $\lambda \uparrow \infty$ .

# Kraichnan model

# Definition of the model

The passive scalar equation is

$$\partial_t \theta + v \cdot \partial_x \theta - \frac{\kappa}{2} \partial^2 \theta = f$$

# Definition of the model

The passive scalar equation is

$$\partial_t \theta + v \cdot \partial_x \theta - \frac{\kappa}{2} \partial^2 \theta = f$$

The Kraichnan model is defined by

$$\langle f(x_1, t_1) f(x_2, t_2) \rangle = \delta(t_{12}) F(x_{12})$$

$$\langle v^\alpha(x_1, t_1) v^\beta(x_2, t_2) \rangle = \delta(t_{12}) D^{\alpha\beta}(x_{12})$$

# Scaling properties

Forcing and velocity correlations are required to satisfy

$$\lim_{\lambda \uparrow \infty} \lambda^\xi [D^{\alpha\beta}(0) - D^{\alpha\beta}(x/\lambda)] = d_{\star}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \uparrow \infty} F(x/\lambda) = F(0)$$

# Scaling properties

Forcing and velocity correlations are required to satisfy

$$\lim_{\lambda \uparrow \infty} \lambda^\xi [D^{\alpha\beta}(0) - D^{\alpha\beta}(x/\lambda)] = d_{\star}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \uparrow \infty} F(x/\lambda) = F(0)$$

The onset of an inertial range requires scale separation

$$\frac{M}{m} \gg 1 \quad \text{velocity field}$$

$$\max \left\{ \frac{1}{M}, \left( \frac{\kappa}{D} \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \right\} \ll \min \left\{ \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m_F} \right\}$$

# Inertial range

The spatial structure of the velocity field is

$$D^{\alpha\beta}(x) = D_0 \int_{M>|q|>m} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{iq \cdot x}}{q^{d+\xi}} \left[ \delta^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q^\alpha q^\beta}{q^2} \right]$$

# Inertial range

The spatial structure of the velocity field is

$$D^{\alpha\beta}(x) = D_0 \xi \int_{M > |q| > m} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{iq \cdot x}}{q^{d+\xi}} \left[ \delta^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q^\alpha q^\beta}{q^2} \right]$$

# Inertial range

The spatial structure of the velocity field is

$$D^{\alpha\beta}(x) = D_0 \xi \int_{M > |q| > m} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{iq \cdot x}}{q^{d+\xi}} \left[ \delta^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q^\alpha q^\beta}{q^2} \right]$$

The velocity correlation is well defined as  $M$  is set to infinity

$$D^{\alpha\beta}(x) \sim D m^{-\xi} \delta^{\alpha\beta} - D c(\xi) |x|^\xi T^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{x}, \xi)$$

$$T^{\alpha\beta}(\hat{x}, \xi) = \delta^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\xi}{d-1+\xi} \frac{x^\alpha x^\beta}{x^2}$$

# Hopf's equations

Due to the  $\delta$ -correlation of the velocity field, equal time correlation functions

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}; m, M) := \langle \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \theta(x_i, t) \rangle$$

# Hopf's equations

Due to the  $\delta$ -correlation of the velocity field, equal time correlation functions

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}; m, M) := \langle \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \theta(x_i, t) \rangle$$

satisfy a solvable hierarchy of Pde's

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{M}_{2n}) \mathcal{C}_{2n} = F \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}$$

# Hopf's equations

Due to the  $\delta$ -correlation of the velocity field, equal time correlation functions

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}; m, M) := \langle \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \theta(x_i, t) \rangle$$

satisfy a solvable hierarchy of Pde's

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{M}_{2n}) \mathcal{C}_{2n} = F \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2n-2}$$

At zero molecular viscosity  $\kappa$

$$[\mathcal{M}_{2n}] = [x^\xi \partial^2]$$

# Zero modes

The Hopf's equations admit a unique solution. In the steady state

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n} = -\mathcal{M}_{2n}^{-1} (F \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2n-2})$$

# Zero modes

The Hopf's equations admit a unique solution. In the steady state

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n} = -\mathcal{M}_{2n}^{-1} (F \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2n-2})$$

Inertial range scaling is due to **the zero modes**

$$\mathcal{M}_{2n} \mathcal{Z}_{2n} = 0$$

# Zero modes

The Hopf's equations admit a unique solution. In the steady state

$$\mathcal{C}_{2n} = -\mathcal{M}_{2n}^{-1} (F \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2n-2})$$

Inertial range scaling is due to **the zero modes**

$$\mathcal{M}_{2n} \mathcal{Z}_{2n} = 0$$

i.e. to the first residues of the Mellin transform

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{2n}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}; z) = \int_0^\infty dw \frac{C_{2n}(\{wx_i\}_{i=1}^{2n})}{w^z}$$

# Anomalous scaling

Structure functions in the inertial range

$$\langle [\theta(x, t) - \theta(0, t)]^{2n} \rangle := \mathcal{S}_{2n}(x; m, M)$$

# Anomalous scaling

Structure functions in the inertial range

$$\langle [\theta(x, t) - \theta(0, t)]^{2n} \rangle := \mathcal{S}_{2n}(x; m, M)$$

exhibit anomalous scaling (*Gawedzki and Kupiainen (1995)*,  
*Chertkov et al. (1995)*)

$$\mathcal{S}_{2n}(x; m, M) = |x|^{n(2-\xi)} (m|x|)^{-\rho_{2n}} s_{2n}(mx, Mx)$$

with

$$\lim_{m \downarrow 0} \lim_{M \uparrow \infty} s_{2n}(mx, Mx) = s_{2n}^* = \text{finite}$$

# Field theory

The Martin Siggia Rose action of the Kraichnan model

$$\mathcal{A} = -i \langle \bar{\theta}, \left( \partial_t + v \cdot \partial - \frac{\nu}{2} \partial^2 \right) \theta \rangle$$

# Field theory

The Martin Siggia Rose action of the Kraichnan model

$$\mathcal{A} = -i \langle \bar{\theta}, \left( \partial_t + v \cdot \partial - \frac{\nu}{2} \partial^2 \right) \theta \rangle$$

- $\theta(x, t)$  (original field)

# Field theory

The Martin Siggia Rose action of the Kraichnan model

$$\mathcal{A} = -i \langle \bar{\theta}, \left( \partial_t + v \cdot \partial - \frac{\nu}{2} \partial^2 \right) \theta \rangle$$

- $\theta(x, t)$  (original field)
- $\frac{\delta \theta(x, t)}{\delta f(y, s)} \stackrel{\text{law}}{\sim} \theta(x, t) \bar{\theta}(y, s)$  (response field)

# Field theory

The Martin Siggia Rose action of the Kraichnan model

$$\mathcal{A} = -i \langle \bar{\theta}, \left( \partial_t + v \cdot \partial - \frac{\nu}{2} \partial^2 \right) \theta \rangle$$

- $\theta(x, t)$  (original field)
- $\frac{\delta \theta(x, t)}{\delta f(y, s)} \stackrel{\text{law}}{\sim} \theta(x, t) \bar{\theta}(y, s)$  (response field)
- Ito discretisation implies
  1.  $\prec \theta(x, t) \bar{\theta}(y, t) \succ = 0$
  2.  $\nu = \kappa + D(m^{-\xi} - M^{-\xi})$

# What I.R. renormalisation does?

- Assume Gaussian scaling

$$\begin{aligned}d_x &= -1 & d_t &= -2 \\d_\theta + d_{\bar{\theta}} &= d\end{aligned}$$

# What I.R. renormalisation does?

- Assume Gaussian scaling

$$\begin{aligned}d_x &= -1 & d_t &= -2 \\d_\theta + d_{\bar{\theta}} &= d\end{aligned}$$

- The IRG-flow of the action at zero forcing tends to the *non-local fixed point*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\lambda \uparrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_\lambda \mathcal{A}|_{u=0} &= \\& -i \langle \bar{\theta}, \left( \partial_t - \frac{\kappa \lambda^\xi}{2} \partial^2 \right) \theta \rangle + \frac{g \kappa \lambda^\xi - 1}{2 \xi} \langle \bar{\theta} \partial_\alpha \theta, \bar{\theta} \partial^\alpha \theta \rangle\end{aligned}$$

# Interpretation the fixed point

- Relevant terms in the action describe the advection of a scalar field by a Gaussian velocity field **constant in space** and  **$\delta$ -correlated in time**

# Interpretation the fixed point

- Relevant terms in the action describe the advection of a scalar field by a Gaussian velocity field **constant in space** and  **$\delta$ -correlated in time**
- General response functions factor in products of Gaussians **times** exponentials of the  $\mathcal{M}_{2n}$

# Interpretation the fixed point

- Relevant terms in the action describe the advection of a scalar field by a Gaussian velocity field **constant in space** and  **$\delta$ -correlated in time**
- General response functions factor in products of Gaussians **times** exponentials of the  $\mathcal{M}_{2n}$

E.G. the 2-points response function has the form ( $t_2 > t_1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \prec \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \theta(x_i, t_i) \bar{\theta}(y_i, s_i) \succ &= H(s_2 - t_1) \prod_{i=1}^2 e^{\mathcal{M}_1(t_i - s_i)} \\ &+ H(t_1 - s_2) H(s_2 - s_1) e^{\mathcal{M}_1(t_2 - t_1)} e^{\mathcal{M}_2(t_1 - s_2)} e^{\mathcal{M}_1(s_2 - s_1)} \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$

# Scaling observables

- i The forcing contribution to the structure functions coincides with its fluctuations

$$S_{2n}(x, t) = [\theta(x, t) - \theta(0, t)]^{2n} \langle f, \bar{\theta} \rangle^{2n}$$

# Scaling observables

- i The forcing contribution to the structure functions coincides with its fluctuations

$$S_{2n}(x, t) = [\theta(x, t) - \theta(0, t)]^{2n} \langle f, \bar{\theta} \rangle^{2n}$$

- ii They are IRG scaling operators

$$\lim_{\lambda \uparrow \infty} \lambda^{-\zeta_{2n}} \mathcal{R}_\lambda S_{2n}(\lambda^{d_x} x, \lambda^{d_t} t) = S_{2n}^*(x, t)$$

$$\zeta_{2n} = n(2 - \xi) - \frac{2n(n-1)}{d+2} + O(\xi)$$

# U.V. renormalisation

- Assume normal scaling

$$\begin{aligned}d_x &= -1 & d_t &= -2 + \xi \\d_\theta + d_{\bar{\theta}} &= d\end{aligned}$$

# U.V. renormalisation

- Assume normal scaling

$$\begin{aligned}d_x &= -1 & d_t &= -2 + \xi \\d_\theta + d_{\bar{\theta}} &= d\end{aligned}$$

- normal scaling (+ mass rescaling) yields

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \mathcal{R}_\lambda \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_*$$

# U.V. renormalisation

- Assume normal scaling

$$\begin{aligned}d_x &= -1 & d_t &= -2 + \xi \\d_\theta + d_{\bar{\theta}} &= d\end{aligned}$$

- normal scaling (+ mass rescaling) yields

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \mathcal{R}_\lambda \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_*$$

- large scale forcing **does not** fluctuate under the U.V. renormalisation group flow of the structure functions

$$\mathcal{S}_{2n}(x, t) \stackrel{\text{R.G. flow}}{\sim} [\theta(x, t) - \theta(0, t)]^{2n}$$

# Scaling and U.V. singularities

i At finite U.V. cut-off  $M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} \frac{\langle [\theta(x, t) - \theta(0, t)]^{2n} \rangle}{|x|^{2n}} \\ = \lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} (m |x|)^{-\rho_{2n}} s_{2n}(m x, M x) \end{aligned}$$

# Scaling and U.V. singularities

i At finite U.V. cut-off  $M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} \frac{\langle [\theta(x, t) - \theta(0, t)]^{2n} \rangle}{|x|^{2n}} \\ = \lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} (m |x|)^{-\rho_{2n}} s_{2n}(m x, M x) \end{aligned}$$

ii The existence of inertial range implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} (m |x|)^{-\rho_{2n}} s_{2n}(m x, M x) \\ = \lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} (m |x|)^{-\rho_{2n}} s_{2n}(0, M x) \end{aligned}$$

# What U.V. renormalisation does ?

iii it follows

$$\lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{S}_{2n}(x; m, M)}{|x|^{2n}} \propto M^{n\xi} \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^{\rho 2n}$$

# What U.V. renormalisation does ?

iii it follows

$$\lim_{|x| \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{S}_{2n}(x; m, M)}{|x|^{2n}} \propto M^{n\xi} \left( \frac{M}{m} \right)^{\rho_{2n}}$$

iv U.V. R.G. studies the blow up rate with  $M$  of the local field functionals

$$G_{2n} = [x^\alpha \partial_\alpha \theta(0, t)]^{2n}$$

Antonov et al (1998)

# Conclusions

- A conceptually elementary perturbative scheme to evaluate the scaling exponents was introduced.

# Conclusions

- A conceptually elementary perturbative scheme to evaluate the scaling exponents was introduced.
- Detailed comparison of the two renormalisation transformations. Results in agreement.

# Conclusions

- A conceptually elementary perturbative scheme to evaluate the scaling exponents was introduced.
- Detailed comparison of the two renormalisation transformations. Results in agreement.
- Isotropic and anisotropic scaling exponents recovered within second order accuracy.